

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/651,303	MEHANNA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jeffrey R. Swearingen	2445	

All Participants:

(1) Jeffrey R. Swearingen.

(2) Tom Rozylowicz.

Date of Interview: 25 February 2011

Status of Application: Appeal Brief Filed

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 3:00 pm

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 2 and all other independent claims

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Jeffrey R Swearingen/
Examiner, Art Unit 2445

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant agreed to amend claim 1 to include the limitations of claim 2. Applicant and the Examiner agreed to amend the third limitation of claim 2 from an "overview map" to an "indication of a map". The other independent claims will have amendments mirroring this change in claim 1. Applicant agreed to amend "tangible computer-readable medium" to "non-transitory computer-readable medium".